Analysis of model outputs at selected grid points : focus to West Africa

D. Bouniol, F. Guichard, F. Couvreux, O. Geoffroy, F. Favot

Document the ECUCLIPSE CFMIP models behaviour over West-Africa (AMIP simulations) Studying in more details the cloud parameterisation answer depending of the geographical location or seasonal cycle

EUCLIPSE WP3 meeting – Toulouse 5-6 April 2012



FIG. 1. A satellite-based image of West African surface albedo (A) (source EUMETSAT/GEM, http://www-

gem.jrc.it/stars/albedo.htm) and GPCP cumulated rainfall (B) for the year 2000 (mm). The red rectangle

Hourdin et al. 2009

West Africa = one of largest land mass in the Tropics

Strong meridional structure in albedo and vegetation

Sharp transition for precipitation in the Sahel region – strongly correlated with the meridonal structure

Analyse model simulations in a latitude-altitude cross-section by averaging outputs between 10W and 10E

Dynamical view of mature African Monsoon



Rain



CNRM-CM5

GONTOUR: RRGPCP (D-gpep_1dd_v1.1_p1d.2008)

IPSL-CM5-LR

DATA SET: pr_day_IPSL-CM5A-LR_amip_r1i1p1_19790101-20091231

IPSL-CM5A-LR model output prepared for CMIP5 AMIP seuil manques = 0.5 SEP over NBG menn = 100% Precipitation (mm/day)(regrid: 10 day on T@AVE) MPI-ESM-LR model output prepared for CMIP5 AMIP seuil monques = 0.5

SEP

= 100%

over: NBG mean

Cloud





CNRM-CM5

Clt

Total Cloud Fraction (%)(regrid: 10 day on T@AVE) CONTOUR: Precipitation (D=pr_day_CNRM-CMS_amip_r111p1_20040101-20081231)

HadGEM2-A

DATA SET: clt_day_HadGEM2-A_amip_r1i1p1_19990101-20081230

LONGITUDE : 10W(-10) to 10E (averaged) HadGEM2-A model output prepared for CMIP5 AMIP



 Total Cloud Fraction (%)(regrid: 10 day on T@AVE)

 CONTOUR: Presipitation (D=pr_day_HadGEM2-A_amip_r11ip1_199901D1-20081230)

IPSL-CM5-LR

DATA_SET: olt_day_IPSL-CM5A-LR_amip_r1i1p1_19790101-20091231

LONGITUDE : 10W(-10) to 10E (averaged) IPSL-CM5A-LR model output prepared for CMIP5 AMIP



Total Cloud Fraction (%)(regrid: 10 day on T@AVE) CONTOUR: Precipitation (D=pr_day_JPSL-CMSA-LR_omip_r1i1p1_19793101-20091231)

MPI-ESM-LR

DATA_SET: olt_day_MPI-ESM-LR_amip_r1i1p1_19790101-20081231

LONGITUDE : 10W(-10) to 10E (averaged) MPI-ESM-LR model output prepared for CMIP5 AMIP



Total Cloud Fraction (%)(regrid: 10 day on T@AVE) CONTOUR: Precipitation (D=pr_day_MPI-ESM-LR_amip_r111p1_18790101-20081231)

Model rain

Cloud fraction in latitude/altitude section



CloudSat/CALIPSO

Cv activity a bit too north Relatively good seasonal cycle for monsoon Miss Mid-level and Sc over the ocean

CNRM-CM5

HadGEM2-A

MPI-ESM-LR



Relatively good northward propagation of the monsoon Misses cirrus and mid-level clouds

- May not include precipitating hydrometeor (i.e. Snow), not seen by RT codes
- compensating effects may occur : too thin clouds too often = too deep cloud not enough often

119 selected CMIP5 sites



We will mainly look at Niamey profiles because of the AMF

Cloud frequency of occurrence seasonal cycle



Monsoon: Tri-modal occurrences / generally not obtained in the models (respective magnitude)

Cloud frequency of occurrence diurnal cycle



Cloud fraction distribution AMF radar-lidar **CNRM-CM5** MPI-ESM-LR HadGEM2-A May - 118 18--0.6 - 115 .15 - 112 -0.4 0.2 3 -n 100) 100) Ω August -118 . 18--1 18-18--0.6 - 115 -15 - 1 15 --15 -1 ·12 12--0.4 9 -0.2 3 -3 -100) 100) 100) Broken clouds at All or nothing CF Fixed value for CF High level clouds more all levels at mid-levels broken during dry season



Surface CRE in the data



Need to identify in the model, the various cloud types : other clouds type largely contributes to CRE !



Summary

- All the models display the broad African Monsoon general features (dynamics, Monsoon jump, precipitations)

-Large differences exist in term of cloud cover, even if the main cloud area moves well northward

When zooming to the sites:

Compensating effects between occurrence and cloud fraction.
 Very different answers of the cloud parameterizations (CF distributions)
 Some models (CNRM, Had) with clouds near all the time but with low CF
 Difficulty in generating low levels clouds

- Very different SW and LW incoming at the surface leading to the same CRE in the LW (why ?) but not in the SW (brightness/occurrence ?)

Future work

- Systematically extend the documentation to all the EUCLIPSE models and all the African points (changes along the transect = different forcing conditions (thermo, surface...))

- Include more data in the transect comparisons => spread (precip, TOA, ...)
- Quantify the model CRE by cloud types by classifying the model cloud profiles
- Improve the quantification of the CRE in the observations (for all the African points) by using radiative transfer calculations (Olivier Geoffroy)